

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI  
ABERDEEN DIVISION

RICHARD W. MORROW

PETITIONER

v.

No. 1:21CV24-MPM-RP

STATE OF MISSISSIPPI

RESPONDENT

**CERTIFICATE OF APPEALABILITY**

The court has entered a final judgment in a *habeas corpus* proceeding in which the detention complained of arises out of process issued by a state court under 28 U.S.C. § 2254, or in a proceeding under 28 U.S.C. § 2255 or § 2241, and the court, considering the record in the case and the requirements of Fed. R. App. P. 22(b) and 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c), finds that **a certificate of appealability should not issue.**

For the reasons stated in its opinion, the court finds that the Petitioner has failed to “demonstrate that the issues are debatable among jurists of reason; that a court could resolve issues in a different manner; or that the questions are adequate to deserve encouragement to proceed further.”

*Barefoot v. Estelle*, 463 U.S. 880, 893 n.4, 103 S.Ct. 3383, 3394 n.4, 77 L.Ed.2d 1090 (1993)

(superseded by statute) (citations and quotations omitted); 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c) (1) and (2).

Specifically, the court finds, for the reasons set forth in its memorandum opinion and final judgment, that the instant petition for a writ of *habeas corpus* should be dismissed with prejudice and without evidentiary hearing as untimely filed.

**SO ORDERED**, this, the 1st day of February, 2022.

/s/ Michael P. Mills  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI